

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

United States Patent and Trademark Office
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/033,223	12/27/2001	David Botstein	GNE.2930R1C9	7370	
30313	7590 02/15/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP			SPECTOR, LORRAINE		
2040 MAIN S' IRVINE, CA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ikvine, ca	72017		1647		

DATE MAILED: 02/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/033,223	BOTSTEIN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Lorraine Spector, Ph.D.	1647	

1	·						
	Lorraine Spector, Ph.D.	1647					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			ress				
THE REPLY FILED 31 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 706.07(f).	E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of example of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ktension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig or than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprince in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as				
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS	.1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	ns of the date of filing	the Notice of				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or	ow); etter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.		jected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:) ⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wovided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>32</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>22-29,33-35 and 37-41</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary and				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant f	ails to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be amendment has not been entered. All rejections main	tained for reasons of record.		ance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)		No(s)					
13. Other:	Ċ	Tonane	Spector				
		Lorraine Spector					
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1647	•				

Application No. 10/033,223

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amendments to claims 35 and 37 constitute new matter, as page 32 of the spec. refers to fragments that are "fragments of a PRO polypeptide coding sequence", not fragments of nucleic acids that hybridize to those disclosed. Amendments to claims 22-27 and 35 at parts (d), and new claim 42 raise new issues under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as a nucleic acid cannot be both full-length and not full-length...